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ABSTRACT

The Pierre Auger Observatory is optimized to study the cosmic ray spectrum in the region of the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuz'min (GZK) cutoff, i.e. cosmic rays with energies $\sim 10^{20}$eV. Cosmic rays are detected as extensive air showers. To measure these showers each Auger site combines a 3000sq-km ground array with air fluorescence telescopes into a hybrid detector. Our design choice is motivated by the heightened importance of the energy scale, and related systematic uncertainties in shower energies, for experiments investigating the GZK cutoff. This paper focuses on the optical calibration of the Auger fluorescence telescopes. The optical calibration is done three independent ways: an absolute end-to-end calibration using a uniform, calibrated intensity, light-source at the telescope entrance aperture, a component by component calibration using both laboratory and in-situ measurements, and Rayleigh scattered light from external laser beams. The calibration concepts and related instrumentation are summarized. Results from the 5-month \textit{engineering array} test are presented.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Pierre Auger experiment studies cosmic rays in the region of the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuz'min (GZK) cutoff. The existence (or not) of events above the GZK cutoff\textsuperscript{2} emphasizes the importance of a well understood energy scale and well understood energy resolution for the Auger events.

A subset of all showers observed by Auger\textsuperscript{2} will be measured by both the ground array and fluorescence detector (FD) telescopes. These special \textit{hybrid} events\textsuperscript{3} will be used to set the shower energy scale, based on the fluorescence detector determination of the shower energies, and to measure the shower energy resolution for the experiment. Consequently, the fluorescence measurement errors must be well understood. The largest fluorescence measurement uncertainties come from uncertainties in the atmospheric corrections,\textsuperscript{4} from the calibration of the fluorescence telescopes, and from the fluorescence yield.\textsuperscript{5} This paper focuses on the calibration of the fluorescence telescopes.

2. FLUORESCENCE DETECTOR OPTICAL CALIBRATION

The fluorescence light from the extensive air shower will be captured by the fluorescence telescopes, focused on cameras subdivided into 440 pixels, and digitized.\textsuperscript{6} The telescope calibration provides the conversion between digitized signal, in ADC units, and photons incident on the 3.80m\textsuperscript{2} telescope aperture. As the air fluorescence signal is collected over a range of wavelengths, 300 < $\lambda$ < 420nm, the telescope calibration is also a function of the wavelength of the photons. We then denote the calibration \textit{efficiency}, for the $i^{th}$-pixel in the $j^{th}$-telescope, by: $\epsilon_{ADC}(\lambda)_{i,j}$. The units of $\epsilon_{ADC}$ are (ADC/photon).

A cross-section of one of the Auger fluorescence telescopes is shown in Fig. 1. The 2.2m diameter telescopes are a simple Schmidt system. UV filters\textsuperscript{7} are installed in the entrance aperture to serve as a window and to exclude light with wavelengths > 420nm. Just inside the UV filter is a ring of (Schmidt) corrector elements covering radii of 0.85$m$ < $r$ < 1.1m. Light is focused by a large 3.9m x 3.9m spherical mirror (needed to accommodate the $30^\circ$ x $30^\circ$ field of view). The camera contains 440 photo-multiplier tubes (PMT)s. The cracks between PMTs are covered by reflective triangular inserts, termed Mercedes. These act like Winston cones and
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minimize variations in the camera response as the light image of the air shower moves across the face of the camera.\cite{8}

The combined efficiency for all of these components must be known and changes in the efficiencies with time must be tracked. This calibration task has been broken down into two separate sub-tasks:

- absolute calibrations which are infrequent, and
- relative calibrations which are frequent.

The relative calibrations are to monitor time dependent changes between absolute calibrations. The absolute calibrations are done in three separate ways:

- \textit{piece-by-piece} estimate,
- \textit{Rayleigh} scattering from 355nm pulsed laser\cite{9} beam(s),\cite{10}
- flat-field \textit{drum illuminator(s)} with 375 ± 12nm LED\cite{11} pulsed source.

The \textit{Rayleigh} and \textit{drum illuminator} calibrations provide an absolute, end-to-end calibration of the fluorescence telescopes. By absolute we mean that the flux of photons on the telescope aperture is independently measured and known to an absolute precision: nominally \( \sim 5\% \). By end-to-end we mean that the calibration procedure includes all efficiencies and geometrical effects. The end-to-end calibration procedure measures the ADC/photon efficiency, \( \epsilon_{ADC(\lambda_{source})_{i,j}} \), at wavelength \( \lambda_{source} \) in one step.
2.1. Absolute Optical Calibration

The absolute calibration of each of the Auger fluorescence telescopes should be known to a precision of $\sim 5\%$. To achieve this will not be easy. Significant progress to this goal was made during the fall of 2001 and winter of 2002 when 2 prototype fluorescence telescopes (denoted telescope-4 and -5) were operated in conjunction with $\sim 40$ ground array detectors at the Pierre Auger Southern Observatory near Malargue, Argentina. This was called the engineering array test. The goal of the test was to collect and reconstruct $50 \sim 100$ hybrid cosmic ray showers to provide the final proof-of-design and proof-of-implementation for the experiment. The engineering array test provided the first opportunity to carry out all three of the planned absolute calibration procedures.

2.1.1. Piece-by-piece Calibration

The piece-by-piece calibration estimated the ADC/photon efficiency by combining the efficiencies of each telescope-component with a ray-tracing program to include geometrical aperture, vignetting and shadowing effects. The component efficiencies came from measurements done on the individual pieces used in building each telescope.

In the piece-by-piece calibration the ADC/photon efficiency is factored into two components:

$$\epsilon_{\text{ADC}}(\lambda)_{i,j} = \epsilon_{\text{PE}}(\lambda)_{i,j} \cdot g_{i,j}$$

where: $\epsilon_{\text{PE}}(\lambda)_{i,j}$ is the efficiency for producing a photo-electron per incident photon (PE/photon) in a given pixel and $g_{i,j}$ is the electronics gain (ADC/PE) for each pixel. An example of the predicted efficiency, $\epsilon_{\text{PE}}(\lambda)$, for telescope-4 in the engineering array test is shown in Fig. 2. The electronics gain was evaluated using for example the relative calibration system (details below). Typical values were $\sim 1.8$ ADC/PE$^{12,13}$. The piece-by-piece calibration uncertainty was estimated at $\sim 20\%$ dominated by systematics including: aging of the various transmission or reflection surfaces (after characterization), simplicity of the ray-tracing simulation and uncertainties in our current evaluations of the electronics gains.
Figure 3. Schematic of drum illuminator positioned at the entrance aperture of one of the Auger fluorescence telescopes. The drum illuminator includes a LED-source and diffuser (light directed into the drum), TYVEK on the sides and rear surfaces of the drum, and 0.38mm thick Teflon (diffuser) on the front (light output) surface.

While the piece-by-piece calibration was the least precise of the three calibrations it provided a detailed model for the wavelength dependence of the calibration. It also provided an overall cross check of the Rayleigh and/or drum illuminator calibrations; see Figs. 2 and 9.

2.1.2. Rayleigh Calibration

In the Rayleigh calibration, a 355nm laser was positioned a few kilometers from the fluorescence telescope to be calibrated. The laser was directed near-vertical and the pulse to pulse intensity monitored to a precision of ~5%. Light was scattered from the beam by Rayleigh scattering (on the molecular atmosphere) and by Mie scattering (on aerosols in the atmosphere). The scattered light was then used to calibrate the fluorescence telescope. The scattered light must be corrected for atmospheric attenuation and for the fraction of light scattered by aerosols. Both the atmospheric attenuation and aerosol corrections were minimized by choosing nights with few aerosols: e.g. with aerosol attenuation lengths > 40km. Furthermore the Mie:Rayleigh fraction was minimized by viewing the scattered light at scattering angles, θ, where the aerosol differential scattering cross section is smallest, viz. 100° < θ < 150°. These angles occur for near-vertical laser shots viewed by the fluorescence telescopes.

Absolute calibrations obtained for telescope-4 were: 5.1 photons/ADC and 4.9 photons/ADC. These results are compared with the piece-by-piece estimates in Figs. 2 and 9.

2.1.3. Drum Illuminator Calibration

In the drum illuminator calibration a drum-shaped, diffused, pulsed, light-source was positioned at the entrance aperture of the telescope under calibration, see Fig. 3. The drum illuminator provided rather uniform illumination over the entrance aperture of the telescope. A calibrated PMT measured the absolute light flux to a precision of ~5% before each telescope calibration. To correct for small non-uniformities in the drum illumination, the drum was characterized at several viewing angles using a CCD camera. The CCD data were then parameterized to simulate the drum illuminator deviations from perfect, uniform illumination of a telescope.
Figure 4. Photograph of one of the three optical calibration light sources at the (Los Leones) fluorescence detector site near Malargue Argentina. The optical calibration light sources mount on a 18′′ × 30′′ optical bread-board which are in-turn supported on simple wall-mounted shelves.

Figure 5. Typical light pulses from the “A”-source. Each time bin is 100nsec. The different intensities correspond to different neutral density filters. The arrows show different integration times used to monitor the observed signal.

The drum illuminator was used to calibrate both telescopes used in the engineering array test. The analysis of the drum illuminator calibration data for telescope-4 measured an average calibration of 4.0 ± 0.3 photons/ADC. This result is compared with the piece-by-piece estimates in Figs. 2 and 9.
Figure 6. Optical calibration “A”-source intensity during the last year. The plot shows the light pulse intensities (average ± RMS) versus sequential day since January 1, 2001. The intensities are normalized to the average intensity for the entire time period.

Figure 7. Time history of the normalized A-source calibration signals in all 440 pixels (PMTs) of telescope-5. As each pixel has a somewhat different signal, the vertical axis in the figure records each pixel’s observed signal normalized by the average of that pixel’s signal during the 5-month period. The horizontal axis is the sequential run number of each calibration. The vertical smear for each calibration run shows that the gains of individual pixels changed in time in comparison to the average (coherent) pixel trends. The vertical motion of the centroid of each smear shows that there were some coherent time variations of the pixel gains.
2.2. Relative Optical Calibration

The relative optical calibration system was used to monitor time variations in the telescope calibration between absolute calibrations. This was done with three xenon flash lamp19 light sources coupled to optical fibers20 to distribute light signals to three different destinations (denoted A, B and C) on each telescope; see Fig. 1. Source “A” signals terminated at 1-mm thick Teflon diffusers at the center of the mirror with the light directed at camera. Source “B” signals terminated at 1-mm thick Teflon diffusers at the center of two sides of the camera with the light directed at the mirror. Source “C” signals went to ports on the sides of the entrance aperture where the light was directed at reflective TYVEK targets mounted to the telescope doors where it was then reflected back into the telescopes.

Each calibration light source included a xenon flash lamp19 at the focus of a f/1.5 lens, quartz beam splitter (to a monitoring fiber), filter wheel21 and f/2.4 lens focusing onto a 1:7 optical fiber splitter.22 Quartz optics were used throughout. A photo of one of the sources is shown in Fig. 4. One fiber from the 1:7 splitter was monitored24; the other six went to the six telescopes at a given fluorescence detector site.

The A-source included a Johnson-U filter25 that approximated the wavelength acceptance of the fluorescence telescopes (Fig. 2) and a filter wheel with 5 different neutral density filters26 that provided a dynamic range of ~ 100. Light pulses from the A-source are shown in Fig. 5. The B-source included only the Johnson-U filter. The C-source included a filter wheel with 5 different interference filters26 to monitor stability at wavelengths of: 330nm, 350nm, 370nm, 390nm and 410nm.

The xenon light pulses were very stable with an RMS/average-pulse-intensity of ~ 0.5% for typical 50-pulse calibrations. Over many months of operation the xenon calibration pulses varied by ~ 1%; see Fig. 6.

A-, B- and C- calibrations were taken at least once per night of fluorescence data taking. As the light sources were essential constant, cf. Fig. 6, the A-source calibration signals in each pixel (of each telescope) provided
Figure 9. Expanded vertical scale version of Fig. 2 showing more clearly the comparison of the piece-by-piece (solid-box points), Rayleigh ("o"-point with error-bars) and drum illuminator ("*"-point with error bars) calibration results. The plot shows the predicted efficiency, $\varepsilon_\text{PE}(\lambda)$, for producing a photo-electron per incident photon versus wavelength for Auger telescope-4. The (preliminary) gain $g = 1.8 \pm 10\%$ ADC/PE is used to plot the Rayleigh and drum illuminator absolute calibration results, points with error-bars, on this figure.

a monitor of the pixel stability. A plot of the signals for telescope-5 is shown in Fig. 7. The relative pixel to pixel variations with time, and the coherent variations with time, were typically $< 5\%$, cf. Fig. 7.

The A-source calibration data were also used to measure the relative pixel gains. This was done in two ways. The first approach used a semi-empirical model to correct for the position dependences of the light intensity at each pixel (from the diffuse light A-source on the optical axis of the camera). The corrected signal in each pixel was then a direct measure of the pixel gain. The average (corrected) signal was divided out so that the average relative gain was 1.0. The second approach used the pulse-to-pulse variations in the observed signal as a measure of the number of photo-electrons in a given pixel. In practice the signal variance must be corrected for additional PMT-multiplication variations at the first dynode. The gain, in ADC/photo-electron, for the $i^{th}$ pixel in the $j^{th}$ telescope was then given by:

$$ g_{i,j} = \frac{\sigma_{i,j}^2}{\overline{\text{ADC}}_{i,j} \cdot 1.41} $$

where $\sigma_{i,j}^2$ was the pixel signal variance and $\overline{\text{ADC}}_{i,j}$ was the pixel signal mean. Again relative gains were normalized to an average gain of 1.0. A comparison of the relative pixel gains from the two procedures is shown in Fig. 8. The agreement was typically better than 5%.

3. SUMMARY

The Auger engineering array test provided an opportunity to evaluate the proposed fluorescence detector calibration procedures, associated hardware and software. The three calibration procedures gave commensurate results at about the 20% level; see Fig. 9. Work is ongoing to improve each of the procedures and we expect consistency with better accuracy in the future. A natural benefit of our program of calibration studies was that calibrated data, see e.g. Fig. 10, were available early in the engineering array test for hybrid event analyses.
Figure 10. Example of cosmic ray air shower observed by the Auger fluorescence telescopes. The raw data are shown in the upper figure and are in ADC units. The calibrated data are shown in the lower figure and are in photon units (i.e. 360nm photons incident on the telescope aperture). This event happened to pass over many pixels with gains that were rather far from the average pixel gain of ~ 5 photons/ADC.
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